FILED

15 FEB 09 PM 3:08

KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT CLERK 1 | E-FILED CASE NUMBER: 15-2-03270-4 SEA 2 3 4 5 6 7 STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 8 NO. STATE OF WASHINGTON, 9 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE 10 AND OTHER RELIEF v. 11 COMMUNITY SERVICE HELP, INC, 12 A MICHIGAN CORPORATION AND D/B/A EDUCATION SERVICES, CORRECTION SERVICES, VICTIM 13 IMPACT PANEL, AND CRIMINAL 14 MARKETPLACE; ADAM YOUNG, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PART OF 15 A MARITAL COMMUNITY; SCOTT YOUNG, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS 16 PART OF A MARITAL COMMUNITY; TERRA RESEARCH FOUNDATION, A MICHIGAN NON-17 PROFIT CORPORATION, 18 Defendants. 19 COMES NOW, Plaintiff, State of Washington (hereinafter "the State"), by and 20 through its attorneys Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney General, and Jason E. Bernstein, Assistant 21 Attorney General, and brings this action against Defendant named herein. The State alleges 22 the following on information and belief: 23 24 I. JURISDICTION AND VENUE 25 1.1 This Complaint is filed and these proceedings are instituted under the provisions

1

of the Consumer Protection Act (CPA), RCW 19.86.

2	RCW 19.86.080.		
3	1.3	Venue is proper in King County pursuant to RCW 4.12.020 and 4.12.025, and	
4	Court Rule 82.		
5	1.4	The violations alleged herein have been committed and are being committed in	
6	whole or in part in King County, Washington, by Defendant named herein or its agents.		
7	II. DEFENDANTS		
8	2.1	Defendant Community Service Help, Inc., d/b/a Education Services, Correction	
9	Services, Victim Impact Panel, Criminal Marketplace (collectively "CSH"), is incorporated in		
10	Michigan and has a mailing address located at 2885 Sanford Ave Southwest, Suite 16974,		
11	Grandville, Michigan 49418.		
12	2.2	At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Adam Young was and is the CEO	
13	and the sole stockholder of CSH. As such, Defendant Young controlled CSH's policies,		
14	activities and practices, including those alleged in the Complaint herein. Defendant Adam		
15	Young is also a director of Terra Research Foundation, and along with Defendant Scott Young,		
16	controlled Terra Research Foundation's policies, activities, and practices, including those		
17	alleged in the	Complaint herein.	
18	2.3	Defendant Terra Research Foundation is a Michigan non-profit corporation and	
19	has a mailing address located at 3165 Glengrove Dr., Rochester Hills, Michigan 48309.		
20	2.4	Defendant Scott Young is the director of Terra Research Foundation, and along	
21	with Defendant Adam Young, controlled Terra Research Foundation's policies, activities, and		
22	practices, including those alleged in the Complaint herein. Defendant Scott Young resides in		
23	California		
24	///		
25	///		
26	///		

Jurisdiction of the Attorney General to commence this action is conferred by

1.2

25

26

HI. NATURE OF TRADE OR COMMERCE

- 3.1 CSH is and was, at all times relevant to this lawsuit, engaged in trade or commerce within the meaning of RCW 19.86.020 through network-delivered advertising, marketing, promotion, and provision of for-profit online community service websites.
- 3.2 Terra Research Foundation is and was, at all times relevant to this lawsuit, engaged in trade or commerce within the meaning of RCW 19.86.020 through its involvement and necessary participation in the scheme of for-profit marketing, promotion, and provision of online community service businesses.

IV. FACTS

- 4.1 CSH operates several websites that offer consumers two distinct services: (1) the option to do court-ordered community service from home, and (2) the option to attend victim impact panels virtually, by watching pre-recorded victim impact panels from a computer.
- 4.2 CSH's include community service sites its main site. www.communityservicehelp.com, well www.communityservicenow.com, as as www.correctionservices.org, and www.washingtoncommunityservice.com. These sites offer services designed to allow consumers to discharge court-ordered community service hours online, stating it is "[t]he only place to do your court-ordered community service from home!"
- 4.3 CSH's impact panel websites, <u>www.onlineimpactpanel.com</u>, and many minor variations (all of which redirect to <u>www.onlineimpactpanel.com</u>), do not offer community service completion but rather market and sell "online victim impact panels," which may be ordered by courts following a DUI conviction.
- 4.4 Consumers pay \$49.95 to register at CSH's community service website. Registration begins with the consumer entering his or her personal information, including name, address, telephone number, and the email and password combination the consumer wishes to use to login. The consumer also chooses payment options at initial registration.

- 4.5 Registration for CSH's online impact panel sites works similarly, but the price varies depending on the program (online impact panel vs online DUI educational course). For example, the most common program is the online impact panel and costs \$49.95. Educational courses can cost considerably more, up to \$499...
- 4.6 Upon registration with one of CSH's community service centered sites, the consumer gains access to an online portal, whereby CSH promises to instantly connect the consumer with a federally approved nonprofit. A consumer is "connected" when the consumer receives a confirmation letter from the nonprofit by email. Upon information and belief, these confirmation letters are sent exclusively by Terra Research Foundation (Terra Research).
- 4.7 Terra Research, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, claims that its purpose is to "sponsor people who have court mandated community service, and work with courts throughout the country" to help those sponsored complete their court-ordered community service. On information and belief, both Defendant Adam Young and his brother, Defendant Scott Young, control and direct Terra Research.
- 4.8 Consumers receive the Terra Research confirmation letter upon completing the sign-up process. The website then prompts the consumer to answer a series of questions, including the reasons for the volunteer service, the number of hours of required community service, if court ordered, and additional demographic information, from gender to family status. If applicable, the consumer may also input the case number and court information, such as the identity of a probation officer, but these questions are optional.
- 4.9 The website then provides the consumer with contact information for their "connected" nonprofit. Clicking a "Next" hyperlink brings the consumer to a selection of educational videos offered by the nonprofit that the consumer may watch to complete their online community service hours. These educational videos fall into four categories: "Math," "Science," "Humanities & Other," and "Test Prep."

- 4.10 All of these videos are created by Khan Academy, Inc., (Khan Academy) and hosted at https://www.youtube.com/user/khanacademy/videos. Khan Academy is a 501(c)3 nonprofit dedicated to "world-class education for anyone, anywhere." In pursuit of this goal, Khan Academy offers free educational videos online. While Khan Academy expressly allows third-party use of its videos, Khan Academy limits the use and reproduction to non-commercial purposes. CSH has embedded these videos in its own website in apparent violation of Khan Academy's terms of use.
- 4.11 Before the consumer has started watching any of the educational videos, a timer at the top of CSH's page displays the number of hours the consumer wishes to discharge. When the consumer starts a video, the timer begins to count down. An eleven minute video allegedly subtracts eleven minutes from the consumer's required community service hours.
- 4.12 After a period of time, the selected video will pause and a dialog box will appear asking whether the consumer is still watching. This stops the countdown timer until the consumer either clicks "OK" or "Cancel." When the video resumes, the timer resumes its countdown.
- 4.13 Before the consumer has completed their designated number of hours indicated by the countdown timer reaching "00:00" the consumer may request a progress report. CSH offers to deliver the progress report at various speeds and for various shipping rates, ranging from Standard Mail for \$9.99 to FedEx Overnight for \$59.99.
- 4.14 CSH represents that it has never had a completion letter rejected. On information and belief, CSH has not verified these statements and, in fact, their completion letters, when recognized as online community service, are not or would not be accepted in many courts in Washington.
- 4.15 CSH's website uses a variety of different methods to advertise that its online community service hours will be accepted anywhere. On its interactive map page, which Defendant has since removed, Defendant claimed that courts in all fifty states have approved

their services and that over 2,000 courts have accepted their volunteers. In the banner of the landing page, an image of the scales of justice appears next to the words "Court Approved".

- 4.16 CSH provided an interactive map of the United States, whereby a consumer could verify that the court in their jurisdiction accepted CSH's program.
- 4.17 CSH also utilizes a promotional video located in the center of the website's landing page for advertising purposes. This video automatically plays when the landing page fully loads and repeatedly extols the program's acceptance. Primarily, the video displays an image with the words "Court Approved: Accepted Everywhere" while the narrator proclaims, "We have never had a completion letter rejected!".
- 4.18 The only qualification that the hours might not be accepted appears in CSH's Terms and Conditions. Under the heading "100% Money Back Guarantee," CSH offers a full refund in the "extremely rare case" that a court does not allow community service to be performed online. There is no recommendation that the consumer verify that the relevant court will accept online hours before purchasing CSH's service.
- 4.19 Contrary to CSH's representations, numerous courts and law enforcement officials have questioned the representations on CSH's website about court approval.
- **4.20** In Washington, the District and Municipal Court Judges Association's (DMCJA) Board has opined that judicial officers in Washington should not accept online community service hours or those that you can "buy."
- **4.21** On May 17, 2013, Judge William J. Faubion, from the Wahkiakim District Court, confirmed that his court does not accept websites offering online community service.
- 4.22 CSH's website also represents that the Better Business Bureau (BBB) has given CSH an "A+" rating. Statements to this effect appear in the promotional video on the landing page. As of September 25, 2014, however, the BBB has given CSH an "F" rating. Pending complaints against CSH on BBB's website include that the promised "court approved" online

(206) 464-7745

community service advertised on CSH's website was or is not accepted in the consumer's local court.

- 4.23 The BBB's profile on CSH also contains an alert. The alert states that CSH never responded to BBB's request for CSH to substantiate its claims and provide supporting documentation for each city, county, and state court that has approved CSH's program, as claimed by CSH; and also to revise its advertising to prevent confusion regarding court approval. The BBB had requested that they either substantiate their claims & provide BBB supporting documentation as to each city, county, and state's courts approval as claimed on the site or to modify their advertising statements to reflect more accurate statements in an effort to promote truth in advertising. As of February 28, 2013, they had not responded to BBB or modified their advertising.
- **4.24** Defendants' online impact panels do not require any verification that the viewer is not under the influence of any drugs or alcohol at the time the impact panel is viewed, nor is there presently any way to completely verify the identity of the person viewing the materials.

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – UNFAIR OR DECEPTIVE ADVERTISING PRACTICES

- **5.1** Plaintiff realleges Paragraphs 2.1 through 4.27 and incorporates them herein as if set forth in full.
- 5.2 In the context of running their online community service website, Defendant engaged in the following acts or practices constituting unfair or deceptive acts in trade or commerce:
 - a. Representing either directly or indirectly that Terra Research is a valid 501(c)(3) nonprofit when Terra Research is operated exclusively for the benefit of Defendant, in violation of federal nonprofit law, 26 C.F.R. § 1.501(c)(3)-1;
 - b. Representing either directly or indirectly that all Washington courts accept community service hours performed using its online service, thereby unjustly

- enticing consumers into paying for community service hours that will not be accepted by Washington courts;
- c. Representing either directly or indirectly that its business is BBB accredited with an "A+" rating by failing to change its rating as displayed in its promotional video;
- **d.** Representing either directly or indirectly that its website is the only place where a consumer may do court-ordered community service online.
- e. Representing either directly or indirectly that Defendants online impact panel services meet the requirements of Washington state law, which require that all impact panels verify that the attendee is not under the influence of drugs or alcohol at the time the impact panel is attended pursuant to RCW 10.01.230.
- 5.3 Defendant's practice of advertising and selling online opportunities to satisfy court-ordered community service hours or court-ordered attendance at victim impact panels where Washington courts have evinced no intent to accept online community service or victim impact panels affects the public interest and has the capacity to deceive a substantial number of consumers and is an unfair or deceptive act or practice in trade or commerce and unfair method of competition in violation of RCW 19.86.020.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, State of Washington, prays for relief as follows:

- **6.1** That the Court adjudges and decrees that Defendant has engaged in the conduct complained of herein.
- 6.2 That the Court adjudges and decrees that the conduct complained of in Paragraphs 4.1 through 5.3 constitutes unfair or deceptive acts or practices and unfair methods of competition in violation of the CPA.
- 6.3 That the Court issues a permanent injunction enjoining and restraining Defendant and its representatives, successors, assigns, officers, agents, servants, employees, and all other

1	persons acting or claiming to act for, on behalf of, or in active concert or participation will	
2	Defendant from continuing or engaging in the unlawful conduct complained of herein,	
3	6.4	That the Court assesses civil penalties, pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, of up to two
4	thousand dolla	ars (\$2,000) per violation against Defendants for each and every violation of RCW
5	19.86.020 caus	sed by the conduct complained of herein.
6	6,5	That the Court makes such orders pursuant to RCW 19.86,080 as it deems
7	appropriate to provide for restitution to consumers of money or property acquired by Defendant a	
8	a result of the conduct complained of herein.	
9	6.6	That the Court makes such orders pursuant to RCW 19.86.080 to provide that
10	Plaintiff, State of Washington, has and recovers from Defendant the costs of this action, including	
11	reasonable attorneys' fees.	
12	6.7	That the Court orders such other relief as it may deem just and proper to fully and
13	effectively dissipate the effects of the conduct complained of herein, or which may otherwise	
14	seem proper to the Court.	
15		(15%)
16	DATED thisday of February, 2015.	
17		
18		ROBERT W. FERGUSON Attorney General
19		
20		
21		JASON E. BERNSTEIN, WSBA #39362
22		Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for Plaintiff
23		State of Washington
24		
25		
26		



JUN 01 2015

SUPERIOR COURT CLERK
BY Tonja Hutchinson
DEPUTY

JUDGE MARY E. ROBERTS

9 .	SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY				
10 11 12 13 14	STATE OF WASHINGTON, Plaintiff, v. COMMUNITY SERVICE HELP, INC, et al.,	NO. 15-2-03270-4 SEA REDACTED CONSENT DECREE, PER ORDER TO REDACT, DATED JUNE 1, 2015			
15 16	Defendants.				

TILED WASHINGTON

1 [FEB 10 2015			
2	SUPERIOR COURT CLERK				
3					
4		•			
5					
6	·				
7	STATE OF WASHINGTON KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT				
8					
9	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	NO. 15 -2-03270-45EA			
10	Plaintiff,	CONSENT DECREE			
11	v.	[CLERK'S ACTION REQUIRED]			
12	COMMUNITY SERVICE HELP, INC, A MICHIGAN CORPORATION AND				
13					
14	IMPACT PANEL, AND CRIMINAL MARKETPLACE; ADAM YOUNG,				
15	INDIVIDUALLY AND AS PART OF A				
16	YOUNG, INDIVIDUALLY AND AS				
17	TERRA RESEARCH FOUNDATION, A MICHIGAN NON-PROFIT	,			
18	CORPORATION,				
19	Defendant.	•			
20	I. JUDGME	NT SUMMARY			
21	1.1 Judgment Creditor:	State of Washington			
22	1.2 Judgment Debtors:	Community Service Help, Inc., Adam			
23		Young, Scott Young, Terra Research Foundation			
24	1.3 Judgment Amount:	\$125,000			
25					
26					
	14				

3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
23	
24	
25	ļ

1.4 Post-Judgment Interest Rate: 12% per annum

1.5 Attorney for Judgment Creditor: Jason E. Bernstein
Assistant Attorney General

1.6 Attorney for Judgment Debtors: Michael Scott

Plaintiff, State of Washington, having commenced this action pursuant to the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86, and Defendant, Community Service Help, Inc., a Michigan corporation, having waived service with a Summons and Complaint in this matter; and

Plaintiff appearing by and through its attorneys, Robert W. Ferguson, Attorney General, and Jason E. Bernstein, Assistant Attorney General, and Defendants appearing by and through their attorney Michael Scott; and

Plaintiff and Defendant having agreed on a basis for the settlement of the matters alleged in the Complaint, and to entry of this Consent Decree against Defendant without the need for trial or adjudication of any issue of law or fact; and

Plaintiff and Defendant acknowledge that each party has agreed to the entry of this Consent Decree solely for the purposes of settlement. Nothing contained in this Consent Decree shall constitute an admission of concession by Defendant or evidence supporting any of the allegations of fact or law set forth in the Complaint, or of any violation of any state or federal law, rule or regulation, or any other liability or wrongdoing whatsoever. Nothing in this paragraph shall limit the Attorney General from relying on this Consent Decree for the purpose of enforcing it against Defendant; and

Defendant recognizes and states that this Consent Decree is entered into voluntarily and that no promises or threats have been made by the Attorney General's Office or any member, officer, agent or representative thereof to induce it to enter into this Consent Decree, except as provided herein; and

Defendant waives any right it may have to appeal from this Consent Decree; and

Defendant further agrees that it will not oppose the entry of this Consent Decree on the grounds-the Consent Decree fails to comply with Rule 65(d) of the Rules of Civil Procedure and hereby waives any objections based thereon; and

Defendant further agrees that this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action for the purpose of implementing and enforcing the terms and conditions of the Consent Decree and for all other purposes; and

The Court finding no just reason for delay;

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED as follows:

II. GENERAL

- 2.1 <u>Jurisdiction</u>. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over all parties. The Plaintiff's Complaint in this matter states claims upon which relief may be granted under the provisions of the Consumer Protection Act, RCW 19.86.
- 2.2 <u>Defendant</u>. For purposes of this Consent Decree the term "Defendant" where not otherwise specified shall mean Community Service Help, Inc., a Michigan corporation.
- 2.3 <u>Definitions</u>. For the purposes of the Consent Decree, the following terms are defined as follows:
 - a) "Washington consumer" means a consumer who provided a Washington State zip code during registration with Community Service Help, Inc., or who otherwise is self-identified as a resident of Washington State and purchased online community service from Community Service Help, Inc.

III. INJUNCTIONS

3.1 <u>Application of Injunctions.</u> The injunctive provisions of this Consent Decree shall apply to the Defendants and the Defendants' successors, assigns, officers, agents,

26

servants, employees, representatives, and all other persons in active concert or participation with the Defendants.

- 3.2 Notice. Within thirty days following the entry of this Consent Decree, Defendants shall inform all successors, assigns, transferees, officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with Defendant or with the business entities named as defendant in the Complaint of the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and shall direct those persons and/or entities to comply with this Consent Decree.
- 3.3 <u>Injunctions.</u> Defendants and all successors, assigns, transferees, officers, agents, servants and employees are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from directly or indirectly engaging in any of the following conduct, whether from a computer or Internet-enabled cellular telephone or other such device located in the state of Washington, or from a computer or Internet-enabled cellular telephone or other such device elsewhere where the conduct is directed to Washington state residents:
- a) Advertising or stating in any fashion either directly or indirectly that court-ordered community service or court-ordered victim impact panel attendance is dischargeable via Defendants' for-profit online service and will be accepted in any city in any county in Washington without evidence of such acceptance;
- b) Advertising or stating in any fashion either directly or indirectly that Defendants are Better Business Bureau accredited with an "A+" rating until such accreditation and rating is granted;
- c) Misrepresenting, directly or by implication, the nature and value of the services they offer or the training, education, or legal authority they possess.
- d) Directly or indirectly engaging in any act, system, scheme, or plan that involves fraudulent or deceitful transactions made in bad faith in order to sell or market online services designed to satisfy court-ordered community service requirements.

- e) Forming any nonprofit corporation or charitable organization that offers or provides online community service or educational services connected with the judicial system in the State of Washington, or that solicits or serves Washington residents for the provision of such services.
- f) From serving as officers, directors, board members, or managers, or in any fiduciary capacity for any nonprofit corporation or charitable organization that offers or provides online community service or educational services connected with the judicial system in the State of Washington, or that solicits or serves Washington residents for the provision of such services.
- 3.4 <u>Cooperation.</u> In addition, Defendants agree to cooperate fully with the State in the pending litigation, other related litigation, and investigations by the State against other entities and individuals with which the Defendants have had dealings, or of which the Defendants have knowledge related to the provision of online community service or education services to Washington consumers. Cooperation shall be reasonable, and shall include truthfully answering questions, providing all relevant available documents in the Defendant' possession or control, including financial records, and testifying, if requested by the State.

IV. RESTITUTION

4.1 Defendants shall provide a full refund to any Washington consumer who makes such a request for one year, effective upon entry of this Decree. There is no other restitution component. Defendants are not required to affirmatively contact consumers about this requirement.

V. TERMS OF PAYMENT

5.1 Defendants shall pay \$125,000 to the Attorney General. The Attorney General shall use the funds for recovery of the costs and attorneys' fees incurred in investigating this

matter, future monitoring and enforcement of this consent decree, future enforcement of RCW 19.86, or for any lawful purpose in the discharge of the Attorney General's duties at the sole discretion of the Attorney General. In no event shall the funds paid pursuant to this section be deemed a civil penalty.

- 5.2 Defendants shall make this payment 45 days after entry of this Decree. Payment shall be by wire transfer using the following information: US Bank, 60 Livingston Ave, St. Paul, MN 55107-2292, Account Name: 1000-Attorney General's Office, ABA/Routing Number: Please contact Washington State Treasurer's Office, Ryan Pitroff, with questions at 360-902-8917 or ryan.pitroff@tre.wa.gov. If possible, the transfer should indicate that the funds are to the attention of Cynthia Lockridge, Consumer Protection Administrative Office Manager and labeled with Community Service Help.com's name.
- 5.3 Defendant' failure to timely make a payment, without written agreement by the State, shall be a material breach of this Consent Decree.

VI. ENFORCEMENT

- 6.1 If, after notice to Defendant and an opportunity to be heard at an evidentiary hearing, the Court finds by a preponderance of evidence that Defendant has violated a material condition of the Consent Decree, the State may seek imposition of additional conditions, civil penalties, restitution, injunctive relief, attorney's fees, costs and such other remedies as the Court may deem appropriate against Defendant.
- 6.2 Violation of any of the terms of this Consent Decree shall constitute a violation of an injunction for which civil penalties of up to \$25,000 per violation may be sought by the AGO pursuant to RCW 19.86.140, in addition to such other remedies as may be provided by law.
- 6.3 The violation of any term of this Consent Decree shall constitute a violation of the CPA.

CONSENT DECREE

- 6.4 Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of enabling any party to this Consent Decree with or without the prior consent of the other party to apply to the Court at any time for enforcement of compliance with this Consent Decree, to punish violations thereof, or otherwise address the provisions of this Consent Decree.
- 6.5 Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or bar any other governmental entity or person from pursuing other available remedies against Defendant or any other person.
- 6.6 Under no circumstances shall this Consent Decree, or the name of the State of Washington, the Office of the Attorney General, the Consumer Protection Division, or any of their employees or representatives be used by Defendant or any of its members, directors, successors, assigns, transferees, officers, agents, servants, employees, representatives, and all other persons or entities in active concert or participation with Defendant, in connection with any selling, advertising, or promotion of products or services, or as an endorsement or approval of Defendant's acts, practices, or conduct of business.
- 6.7 The State shall be permitted, upon ten (10) days advance notice to Defendant, to access, inspect and/or copy all business records or documents in possession, custody or under control of Defendant to monitor compliance with this Consent Decree, provided that the inspection and copying shall avoid unreasonable disruption of Defendant' business activities.
- 6.8 The State shall be permitted to question Defendant or any member, officer, director, agent, or employee of Defendant by deposition pursuant to the provisions of CR 30 to monitor compliance with this Consent Decree.
- 6.9 Defendant shall fully cooperate with the State and other civil regulatory agencies in any civil investigation of any other person who advertised, marketed, or sold any of Defendant's goods, products or services. Defendant also shall fully cooperate with the State and other civil regulatory agencies in any civil investigation of any other agent, employee, independent contractor, or representative of Defendant who is alleged to have violated any of

1	the provisions of this Consent Decree. Defendant's failure to cooperate shall be a material
2	breach of this Consent Decree.
3	VII. DISMISSAL
4	7.1 Except as provided above, this proceeding, in all other respects, is dismissed as to
5	the Defendant upon entry of this Consent Decree.
6.	7.2 The Clerk of the Court is ordered to enter the foregoing Judgment and Consent
7	Decree immediately
8	DONE IN OPEN COLIRT this 21/0/5 day of James 2015.
9	
10	MOGALLIM - D. HITEHOURT COMMISSIONER
11	
12.	Approved for entry and presented by: Approved for Entry, Notice of Presentation Walved:
13	ROBERT W. FERGUSON Amoriey General
14	la la
15	JASON E. BERNSTEIN, WSBA #39362 Michael R. Scott, WSBA 12822
15·	State of Washington Attorneys for Defendants
17 42 -	Atteneys for Planniff
13 19	
20 20	
21	
22	Community Service Help, Inc. Defendant Defendant
23	By: By:
24	Adam Young Scott Young
25	
ゔゔ゙	